

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		,	www.uspto.gov	313-1450	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
09/676,363	09/29/2000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Brian W. Loggie		2200	
DANN, DORI	DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET			EXAMINER DEAK, LESLIE R	
	A, PA 19103-2307		ART UNIT 3762	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/676,363	LOGGIE, BRIAN W.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie R. Deak	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If sill - Faill	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply one to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 M	lay 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>11-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>11-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2000</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
2) No	ent(s)  tice of References Cited (PTO-892)  tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  per No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-17, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,406,656 to Hattler et al. Hattler discloses an indwelling multi-lumen catheter with an elongated cylindrical tube with lumens therethrough. Hattler further discloses multiple configurations, several devoid of sharp acute angles, of transverse and non-diametral septums that divide the tube into multiple passageways that may be used independently to carry fluids into and out of a patient. See FIGS 2-9, column 1, lines 12-15, column 5, lines 10-20. Since the septums of the Hattler device are integral with the catheter itself, they resist vertical displacement. Hattler further discloses that the cross-sectional area of the lumens vary with the flow of the fluids within the catheter. Therefore, the cross-sectional area of either the withdrawal or return lumens of the catheter disclosed by Hattler may be larger, equal in size, or smaller than one another at any given time, depending on catheter usage. See column 6, lines 9-11. Hattler further discloses that the catheter comprises adapters 60 and 70 for connecting with conventional fluid sources or other devices.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,363 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18-20 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,406,656 to Hattler in view of US 5,395,316 to Martin. Hattler discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of an equal flow resistance and a beveled edge. Martin discloses a catheter with multiple lumens that has an opening for each lumen on the opposite side of a beveled edge in order to avoid occlusion by a blood vessel. The lumens are arranged to allow for similar intake and return flow rates, increasing the efficiency of a blood treatment process. Similar flow rates indicate similar flow resistances. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a beveled edge to the distal end of the catheter and provide similar flow resistance in the lumens of the Hattler device in order to prevent occlusion and increase treatment efficiency, as taught by Martin.

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. US 6,146,354

Beil

i. Multi-lumen catheter with balanced flow rates

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7 September 2004

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cenyel. Roths